## MEMO ENDORSED AVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

STUART W GOLD JOHN W. WHITE EVAN R. CHESLER MICHAEL L. SCHLER RICHARD LEVIN KRIS F HEINZELMAN B. ROBBINS KIESSLING ROGER D TURNER PHILIP A. GELSTON RORY O. MILLSON FRANCIS P BARRON RICHARD W CLARY WILLIAM P ROGERS, JR. JAMES D. COOPER STEPHEN L. GORDON DANIEL L. MOSLEY JAMES C. VARDELL, III ROBERT H BARON KEVIN J. GREHAN SUSAN WEBSTER DAVID MERCADO ROWAN D WILSON PETER T. BARBUR

SANDRA C GOLDSTEIN THOMAS G. RAFFERTY MICHAEL S. GOLDMAN JULIE A. NORTH ANDREW W NEEDHAM STEPHEN L. BURNS KEITH R. HUMMEL DAVID J KAPPOS DANIEL SLIFKIN ROBERT I. TOWNSEND, III WILLIAM J WHELAN, III SCOTT A. BARSHAY PHILIP J BOECKMAN ROGER G. BROOKS WILLIAM V FOGG FAIZA J. SAEED RICHARD J. STARK THOMAS E. DUNN MARK I GREENE DAVID R. MARRIOTT MICHAEL A. PASKIN ANDREW J PITTS MICHAEL T. REYNOLDS ANTONY L RYAN

Worldwide Plaza 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019-7475

TELEPHONE: +1-212-474-1000 FACSIMILE: +1-212-474-3700

CITYPOINT
ONE ROPEMAKER STREET
LONDDN EC2Y 9HR
TELEPHONE +44-20-7453-1000
FACS:MILE +44-20-7860 1150

WRITER'S DIRECT DIAL NUMBER

(212) 474-1075

JUL 2 1 2014

In re Barnes & Noble, Vinc. Section Litigation
14-cv-0108 (KMW)

USDS SDNY

GEORGE A STEPHANAUS
DER PURITENT
GARY A BORNSTEIN

LIZABETHANN R EISEN

LIZABETHANN R EISEN

LAUBETHANN A EISEN

LAUBETHANN A MOSKOWITZ

RACHEL G. SKAISTIS

PAN FIZUMERS ED:

LEUNER HICKORY

GEORGE F SCHOEN
ERIK R. TAVZEL
CRAIG F ARCELLA
TEENA-ANN V SANKOORIKAL
ANDREW R THOMPSON
DAMIEN R ZOUBEK
LAUREN ANGELILLI
TATIANA LAPUSHCHIK
ERIC L. SCHIELE
ALYSSA K. CAPLES
JENNIFER S. CONWAY

TING S. CHEN
CHRISTOPHER K. FARGO
KENNETH C. HALCOM
DAVID M. STUART

SPECIAL COUNSEL
SAMUEL C. BUTLER
GEORGE J GILLESPIE, III

OF COUNSEL
PAUL C. SAUNDERS

July 18, 2014

## Dear Judge Wood:

We represent defendants Barnes & Noble, Inc., Leonard Riggio, William J. Lynch, Jr., Michael P. Huseby and Allen W. Lindstrom (collectively, "Defendants") in the above-referenced action (the "Action"). We write on behalf of all parties to the Action ("Parties") to request endorsement of a revised briefing schedule as well as an adjournment of the Rule 16 Conference currently scheduled for July 24, 2014 (the "Rule 16 Conference"). This is the Parties' second request for an adjournment of the Rule 16 Conference.

On July 16, 2014, the Court ordered the consolidation of Taylor v. Barnes & Noble, Inc., et al., 14-cv-108 (KMW) (the "Taylor Action") and Maitland-Lewis v. Barnes & Noble, Inc., et al., 14-cv-406 (KMW) (the "Maitland-Lewis Action") under the caption, In re Barnes & Noble, Inc. Securities Litigation, 14-cv-108 (KMW), appointed Jules Briskin as Lead Plaintiff and scheduled the Rule 16 Conference. (In re Barnes & Noble ECF No. 14 ("In re Barnes & Noble Consolidation Order")). The Parties had previously requested: (1) that the deadline for Defendants to respond to the Complaints in the Taylor and Maitland-Lewis Actions be extended until the appointment of a lead plaintiff and the filing of an amended and/or consolidated complaint, or the signaling of intent to stand on either of the existing Complaints; (2) an adjournment of a previous Rule 16 Conference scheduled for March 27, 2014, for each action; and (3) the approval of a briefing schedule contingent on the appointment of a lead plaintiff. The Court endorsed those requests by Order dated January 23, 2014, in the Taylor Action (In re Barnes & Noble ECF No. 4 ("Taylor Endorsed Letter")), Order dated March 4, 2014, in the Taylor Action (In re Barnes & Noble ECF No. 9 ("Taylor Second Endorsed Letter")),

and Order dated March 4, 2014, in the *Maitland-Lewis* Action (*Maitland-Lewis* ECF No. 6 ("*Maitland-Lewis* Endorsed Letter")).

Now that the two actions have been consolidated and Jules Briskin has been appointed Lead Plaintiff, the briefing schedule pursuant to those Orders is as follows:

- The Lead Plaintiff shall either file a consolidated and/or amended complaint, or deem either of the existing Complaints the operative complaint on or before September 2, 2014;
- The Defendants shall respond to the operative complaint on or before October 17, 2014;
- The Lead Plaintiff shall file any opposition to any motion to dismiss on or before December 1, 2014; and
- The Defendants shall file any replies in support of a motion to dismiss on or before December 22, 2014.

The parties request that the briefing schedule set forth above be modified accordingly:

- The Lead Plaintiff shall either file a consolidated and/or amended complaint, or deem either of the existing Complaints the operative complaint on or before September 8, 2014;
- The Defendants shall respond to the operative complaint on or before October 24, 2014;
- The Lead Plaintiff shall file any opposition to any motion to dismiss on or before December 8, 2014; and
- The Defendants shall file any replies in support of a motion to dismiss on or before January 5, 2015.

This is the Parties' second request for extension of time, and the first request since the Actions were consolidated and the Lead Plaintiff was appointed.

While it remains uncertain at this time which complaint will be operative in this Action, Defendants intend to move to dismiss any such complaint. The Parties therefore respectfully request that the Conference currently scheduled for July 24, 2014, should be adjourned pending a decision on such a forthcoming motion to dismiss. Such an adjournment is appropriate under these circumstances in light of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act, which mandates that "all discovery and other proceedings shall be stayed during the pendency of any motion to dismiss, unless the court finds upon the motion of any party that particularized discovery is necessary to preserve evidence or to prevent undue prejudice to that party". 15 U.S.C. § 77z-1(b)(1). The Court's standing

Granted FMW order concerning the Pilot Project Regarding Case Management Techniques for Complex Civil Cases in the Southern District of New York (the "Pilot Project") independently provides that "[i]f a motion to dismiss is pending, the Court may consider postponing the initial pretrial conference until the motion is decided". (In re Barnes & Noble ECF No. 2 ("In re Barnes & Noble Pilot Project Order")).

Respectfully,

Sandra C. Goldstein

Hon. Kimba M. Wood
United States District Court
Southern District of New York
500 Pearl Street
New York, NY 10007-1312

SO ORDERED: 07/21/2014

MBA M. WOOD
U. S. D. J.

BY ECF AND HAND

Copies to:

Jeremy A. Lieberman
Lesley F. Portnoy
Pomerantz LLP
600 Third Avenue, 20th Floor
New York, NY 10016

Patrick V. Dahlstrom
Pomerantz LLP
10 South LaSalle Street, Suite 3505
Chicago, IL 60603

BY ECF